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#### Abstract

The traditional Tamm-Dancoff (TD) method is one of the standard procedures for solving the Schrödinger equation of fermion many-body systems. However, it meets a serious difficulty when an instability occurs in the symmetry-adapted ground state of the independent particle approximation (IPA) and when the stable IPA ground state becomes of broken symmetry. If one uses the stable but broken symmetry IPA ground state as the starting approximation, TD wave functions also become of broken symmetry. On the contrary, if we start from a symmetry-adapted but unstable wave function, the convergence of the TD expansion becomes bad. Thus, the requirements of symmetry and rapid convergence are not in general compatible in the conventional TD expansion of the systems with strong collective correlations. Along the same line as Fukutome's, we give a group-theoretical deduction of a $U(n)$ dyadic TD equation by using a matrix-valued generator coordinate.


PACS numbers: 21.60.Fw, 05.30.Fk

## 1. Introduction

One of the most challenging problems of nuclear physics and molecular physics is to give a theory suitable for description of collective motions with large amplitudes in soft nuclei and molecules with strong collective correlations. A conventional standard description of

[^0]fermion many-body systems starts with the most basic approximation that is based on an independent-particle picture, i.e., a self consistent field (SCF) for motion of the fermions. The Hartree-Fock (HF) theory is typically one such approximation for ground states of the fermion systems. Excited states are treated with the well-known random phase approximation (RPA). As is well known, the HF theory is formulated by a variational method to optimize an energy expectation value by a Slater determinant (S-det) and to obtain a variational equation for orbitals in the S-det [1]. A set of particle-hole pair operators of the fermions with $n$ single-particle states is closed under a Lie multiplication and forms a basis of a Lie algebra $u_{n}$ [2]. The $u_{n}$ Lie algebra of the fermion pair operators generates a Thouless transformation [3], which induces a representation of the corresponding $U(n)$ Lie group. The $U(n)$ canonical transformation transforms a S-det with $m$ particles to another S-det. This means that any S-det is obtained by a $U(n)$ canonical transformation of a reference S-det. The Thouless transformation provides an exact wave function of fermion state vector, which is the generalized coherent state representation (CS rep) on $U(n)$ Lie group of the fermion state [4].

Meanwhile, the traditional Tamm-Dancoff (TD) method has been one of the standard procedures of solving the Schrödinger equation for such problems mentioned above. As we have often experienced, the TD method meets with the following serious problems: they occur when an independent particle ground state, of an independent particle approximation (IPA) affiliated with some symmetry, becomes unstable and when the stable IPA ground state becomes of broken symmetry. If the stable but broken symmetry IPA wave function is used as the starting approximation, the symmetry is also broken by the approximate TD wave functions and the identification of the wave functions with eigenstates of the Hamiltonian may become ambiguous or even impossible. In contrast, if we start from a symmetry-adapted but unstable wave function, the convergence of the TD expansion becomes truly bad and a cutoff of the expansion may lead to a qualitatively incorrect result because the effect of the collective correlation incorporated into the broken symmetry IPA wave function extends up to higherorder terms of such an expansion. The requirements of both symmetry and rapid convergence are not in general compatible with each other and can never be realized simultaneously, if we try to describe fermion systems with strong collective correlations by the conventional TD expansion method. Such correlations may be really anticipated to occur in highly deformed and superconducting nuclei and also in superconducting molecular systems.

For providing a general microscopic means for unified description of collective excitations in strongly correlated fermion systems and for eliminating the above-mentioned dilemma, Fukutome has proposed the new TD method based on the $S O(2 n)$ and the $S O(2 n+1)$ (the special orthogonal groups of $2 n$ and $2 n+1$ dimensions) fundamental spinor representations ( $n$ being the number of the single-particle states) [5, 6]. The Hartree-Bogoliubov (HB) wave function is generated by the $S O(2 n)$ canonical transformation. The symmetry-projected TD expansion method gives good wave functions for the ground and excited states up to any higher-order approximation if we start from the HB wave function and use its non-Euclidean property of transformation by a matrix-valued generator coordinate. However, a fermion number nonconserving treatment is known to be unsatisfactory. One of the present authors $(\mathrm{SN})$ has developed the first-order approximation of the number-projected (NP) $S O(2 n)$ TD equation to describe ground and excited states. This equation is expressed as a higher-order differential equation with respect to geminal coset variables. As was done in [7], it can be reduced to a simpler form by the Schur function of group characters, which has a close connection with the soliton theory on the group manifold.

Along the same way as above, we give a group-theoretical deduction of a $U(n)$ dyadic TD equation by using a matrix-valued generator coordinate. In section 2, we introduce a matrix-valued generator coordinate and derive a non-Euclidean transformation rule of the coset
variables. In section 3, we make a $U(n)$ dyadic TD expansion of a state in a particle-hole frame. In section 4, we deduct a $U(n)$ dyadic TD equation group theoretically and give an expression for the Hamiltonian matrix element between two $U(n)$ dyadic TD wave functions. In section 5, we approximate the $U(n)$ dyadic TD equation up to the first order. Finally, in section 6, we give the summary and discussions on the generalized Brillouin theorem and the weak killer condition [8]. In the appendices, we first recapitulate algebraic relations between the coset coordinates and the Plücker coordinates, which play crucial roles in the SCF and the soliton theories. We also give differential formulae needed for variational calculations and explicit forms of the Schur functions.

## 2. Generator coordinate and non-Euclidean transformation

We consider a finite many-fermion system with $n$ single-particle states. Let $c_{\alpha}$ and $c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$ ( $\alpha=1, \ldots, n$ ) be the annihilation and creation operators of the fermion. Owing to the anti-commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{c_{\alpha}, c_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right\}=\delta_{\alpha \beta}, \quad\left\{c_{\alpha}, c_{\beta}\right\}=\left\{c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}, c_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right\}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

fermion pair operators $e_{\alpha \beta} \equiv c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}$ satisfy a Lie commutation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{\alpha \beta}, e_{\gamma \delta}\right]=\delta_{\beta \gamma} e_{\alpha \delta}-\delta_{\alpha \delta} e_{\gamma \beta} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and span a Lie algebra $u_{n}$. The brackets $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denote the anti-commutator and the commutator, respectively. A canonical transformation $U(g)=e^{\gamma_{\alpha \beta} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\beta}}\left(\gamma^{\dagger}=-\gamma\right)$, which is specified by a $U(n)$ matrix $g\left(=e^{\gamma}\right)$, generates a transformation such that
$U(g) c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} U^{-1}(g)=c_{\beta}^{\dagger} g_{\beta \alpha}, \quad U(g) c_{\alpha} U^{-1}(g)=c_{\beta} g_{\beta \alpha}^{*}$,
$U^{-1}(g)=U\left(g^{-1}\right)=U\left(g^{\dagger}\right), \quad U\left(g g^{\prime}\right)=U(g) U\left(g^{\prime}\right), \quad g^{\dagger} g=g g^{\dagger}=1_{n}$,
where $1_{n}$ is an $n$-dimensional unit matrix. We use the dummy index convention to sum up repeated indices unless there is scope for misunderstanding. Symbols $\dagger, *$ and T mean hermitian conjugation, complex conjugation and transposition, respectively. Let $|0\rangle$ be a free vacuum and $\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle$ be an $m$ particle S-det

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{\alpha}|0\rangle=0, \quad(\alpha=1, \ldots, n), \quad\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=c_{m}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{1}^{\dagger}|0\rangle, \\
& U(g)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=\left(c^{\dagger} g\right)_{m} \cdots\left(c^{\dagger} g\right)_{1}|0\rangle \stackrel{d}{\mid}|g\rangle, \quad U(g)|0\rangle=|0\rangle \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c^{\dagger}$ means an $n$-dimensional row vector $c^{\dagger}=\left(c_{1}^{\dagger}, \ldots, c_{n}^{\dagger}\right)$. Equation (2.4) shows that $m$ particle S-det is an exterior product of $m$ single-particle states and that $U(g)$ transforms $\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle$ to another S-det (Thouless transformation) [3] under (2.3). Such states are called 'simple' states. The set of all simple states of unit modulus together with the equivalence relation, identifying distinct states only in phases with the same state, constitutes a manifold known as a Grassmannian $G r_{m}$. The $G r_{m}$ is an orbit of the group given through (2.4). Any simple state $\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle \in G r_{m}$ defines a decomposition of single-particle Hilbert space into sub-Hilbert spaces of occupied and unoccupied states [9]. Thus, the $G r_{m}$ corresponds to a coset space

$$
\begin{equation*}
G r_{m} \sim U(n) /(U(m) \times U(n-m)) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following Fukutome [10], let us introduce triangular matrix functions $S(\zeta), C(\zeta)$ and $\tilde{C}(\zeta)$ defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& S(\zeta)=\left(S_{i a}(\zeta)\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \frac{1}{(2 k+1)!} \zeta\left(\zeta^{\dagger} \zeta\right)^{k}, \\
& C(\zeta)=\left(C_{a b}(\zeta)\right)=1_{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \frac{1}{(2 k)!}\left(\zeta^{\dagger} \zeta\right)^{k}=C^{\dagger}(\zeta),  \tag{2.6}\\
& \tilde{C}(\zeta)=\left(\tilde{C}_{i j}(\zeta)\right)=1_{n-m}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \frac{1}{(2 k)!}\left(\zeta \zeta^{\dagger}\right)^{k}=\tilde{C}^{\dagger}(\zeta),
\end{align*}
$$

which have the properties analogous to the usual triangular functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{2}(\zeta)+S^{\dagger}(\zeta) S(\zeta)=1_{m}, \quad \tilde{C}^{2}(\zeta)+S(\zeta) S^{\dagger}(\zeta)=1_{n-m}, \quad S(\zeta) C(\zeta)=\tilde{C}(\zeta) S(\zeta) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The indices $i$ and $a$ denote unoccupied states $(m+1, \ldots, n)$ and occupied states $(1, \ldots, m)$, respectively. The matrix $p$ is defined as $p=\left(p_{i a}\right)=S(\zeta) C^{-1}(\zeta)=\tilde{C}^{-1}(\zeta) S(\zeta)$. Using equations (2.6) and (2.7), we have relations $\operatorname{det} C=\left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\operatorname{det} \tilde{C}=$ $\left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p p^{\dagger}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where $\operatorname{det} C$ and $\operatorname{det} \tilde{C}$ are determinants of matrices $C$ and $\tilde{C}$, respectively. The matrix $g$ in (2.3) is decomposed as $g=g_{\zeta} g_{w}$ using the matrices given by
$g_{\zeta}=e^{\gamma^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}C(\zeta) & -S(\zeta)^{\dagger} \\ S(\zeta) & \bar{C}(\zeta)\end{array}\right], \quad \gamma^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -\zeta^{\dagger} \\ \zeta & 0\end{array}\right]$,
$g_{w}=e^{\gamma^{\prime \prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}w & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{w}\end{array}\right], \quad \gamma^{\prime \prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\eta & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\eta}\end{array}\right], \quad \eta^{\dagger}=-\eta, \quad \bar{\eta}^{\dagger}=-\bar{\eta}$,
where $\zeta$ is an $(n-m) \times m$ matrix $\left(\zeta_{i a}\right)$ and $\eta$ and $\bar{\eta}$ are $m \times m$ and $(n-m) \times(n-m)$ anti-hermitian matrices $\left(\eta_{a b}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{\eta}_{i j}\right)$, respectively.

Let us start with a state $|f\rangle$, an exact representaion on the $U(n)$ group

$$
\begin{align*}
|f\rangle & ={ }_{n} C_{m} \int U\left(g^{\prime}\right)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}\left(g^{\prime}\right)|f\rangle d g^{\prime} \\
& ={ }_{n} C_{m} \int\left|g^{\prime}\right\rangle \Phi_{0 f}\left(g^{\prime}\right) d g^{\prime} \quad\left({ }_{n} C_{m}=\frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!}\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d g^{\prime}$ is an invariant group integration over the $U(n)$ group. Using the invariance of the group measure of the transformation of the variable $g$ by any group element, from (2.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(g)|f\rangle={ }_{n} C_{m} \int\left|g^{\prime}\right\rangle \Phi_{0 f}\left(g^{\dagger} g^{\prime}\right) d g^{\prime} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the canonical transformation $U(g)$ to the state $|f\rangle$ corresponds to a left coordinate tranformation by $g^{\dagger}$ of the matrix-valued generator coordinate $g^{\prime}$. Instead of $g^{\prime}$, let us introduce the matrix-valued generator coordinate $\stackrel{\circ}{g}$ in the $g$ particle-hole frame by $\stackrel{\circ}{g}=g^{\dagger} g^{\prime}$. Then, conversely, the $g^{\prime}$ is represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
C^{\prime} w^{\prime} & -S^{\prime \dagger} \bar{w}^{\prime} \\
S^{\prime} w^{\prime} & \tilde{C}^{\prime} \bar{w}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=g \stackrel{\circ}{g}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
C w & -S^{\dagger} \bar{w} \\
S w & \tilde{C} \bar{w}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w} & -S^{\dagger} \stackrel{\circ}{w} \\
\stackrel{\circ}{S} \stackrel{\circ}{w} & \tilde{C} \overline{\bar{w}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
C w \stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w}-S^{\dagger} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{S} \stackrel{\circ}{w} & -C w \stackrel{\circ}{\dagger}^{\dagger} \overline{\bar{w}}-S^{\dagger} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{\tilde{C}} \stackrel{\circ}{\bar{w}} \\
S w \stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w}+\tilde{C} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{S} \stackrel{\circ}{w} & -S w S^{\dagger} \bar{w}+\tilde{C} \bar{w} \tilde{C} \dot{\bar{w}}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.11) and the definition of a coset variable $\stackrel{\circ}{p} \equiv \stackrel{\circ}{S}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{-1}$ in the coordinate $\stackrel{\circ}{g}$, we obtain the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& C^{\prime} w^{\prime}=C w \stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w}-S^{\dagger} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{S} \stackrel{\circ}{w}=\left[C w-S^{\dagger} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{S} \stackrel{\circ}{w}(\stackrel{\circ}{C})^{-1}\right] \stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w} \\
& =\left[C w-S^{\dagger} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{p}\right] \stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w}=C w\left[1-(C w)^{-1} S^{\dagger} \bar{w} p \nmid \stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w},\right.  \tag{2.12}\\
& S^{\prime} w^{\prime}=S w \stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w}+\tilde{C} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{S} \stackrel{\circ}{w}=\left[S w+\tilde{C} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{S} \stackrel{\circ}{w}(\stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w})^{-1}\right] \stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w}=[S w+\tilde{C} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{p}] \stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w} \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from $g^{\dagger} g=1$, i.e. $\bar{w}^{\dagger} \tilde{C}^{\dagger} \tilde{C} \bar{w}+\bar{w}^{\dagger} S S^{\dagger} \bar{w}=1$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{C} \bar{w}+\left(\bar{w}^{\dagger} \tilde{C}^{\dagger}\right)^{-1} \bar{w}^{\dagger} S S^{\dagger} \bar{w}=\tilde{C} \bar{w}+p S^{\dagger} \bar{w}=\left(\bar{w}^{\dagger} \tilde{C}^{\dagger}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We further define a coset variable $p^{\prime} \equiv S^{\prime} C^{\prime-1}$ in the $g^{\prime}$ frame. A $U(n)$ wave function generated by a canonical transformation to a $g^{\prime}$ particle-hole frame is regarded as a function of the generator coordinate $\stackrel{\circ}{g}:\left|g^{\prime}\right\rangle=U(g \stackrel{\circ}{g})\left|g g^{\circ}\right\rangle$. With the aid of (2.12) and (2.13), the coset variable $p^{\prime}$ is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{\prime} & =S^{\prime} w^{\prime}\left(C^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=[S w+\tilde{C} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{p}]\left[1-(C w)^{-1} S^{\dagger} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{p}\right]^{-1}(C w)^{-1} \\
& =\left[S w\left\{1-(C w)^{-1} S^{\dagger} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{p}\right\}+\left\{p S^{\dagger} \bar{w}+\tilde{C} \bar{w}\right\} \stackrel{\circ}{p}\right]\left[1-(C w)^{-1} S^{\dagger} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{p}\right]^{-1}(C w)^{-1} \\
& =p+\left(\bar{w}^{\dagger} \tilde{C}^{\dagger}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\circ}{p}\left[1-(C w)^{-1} S^{\dagger} \bar{w} \stackrel{\circ}{p}\right]^{-1}(C w)^{-1} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us introduce following matrices $r, q$ and $e$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
r & \equiv(C w)^{-1} S^{\dagger} \bar{w}=w^{-1} p^{\dagger} \bar{w} \\
q & \equiv\left(\bar{w}^{\dagger} \tilde{C}^{\dagger}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\circ}{p}(C w)^{-1}=\left(\bar{w}^{\dagger} \tilde{C}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\circ}{p}(C w)^{-1}  \tag{2.15}\\
e & \equiv-(C w)^{*} r^{*}\left(\bar{w}^{\dagger} \tilde{C}^{\dagger}\right)^{*}=-S^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{C}^{\mathrm{T}}=-p^{\mathrm{T}}\left(1+p^{*} p^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the $p^{\prime}$ is rewritten as
$p^{\prime}=p+q\left[1-(C w) r \stackrel{\circ}{p}(C w)^{-1}\right]^{-1}=p+q\left[1-(C w) r\left(\bar{w}^{\dagger} \tilde{C}^{\dagger}\right) q\right]^{-1}=p+q\left(1+e^{*} q\right)^{-1}$,
whose transformation rule causes the non-Euclidean properties of the coset variables because the coset variables (the geminals) are quantities defined on the non-commutative $U(n)$ group, which belong to the Grassmann manifold $U(n) /(U(m) \times U(n-m))$ [11].

Finally, we define the overlap integral of $U(n)$ wave functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=\Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\dagger} g^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g) U\left(g^{\prime}\right)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation (2.9) by $\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g)$, we have
$\Phi_{0 f}(g)={ }_{n} C_{m} \int\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g) U\left(g^{\prime}\right)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle \phi_{0 f}\left(g^{\prime}\right) d g^{\prime}={ }_{n} C_{m} \int S\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{0 f}\left(g^{\prime}\right) d g^{\prime}$,
in which it is easily verified that the overlap integral $S\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)={ }_{n} C_{m} \int S\left(g, g^{\prime \prime}\right) S\left(g^{\prime \prime}, g^{\prime}\right) d g^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This property shows that the ${ }_{n} C_{m} S\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)$ is just the projection operator to the $U(n)$ S-det. Putting $\stackrel{\circ}{g}=g^{\dagger} g^{\prime}$ in (2.17) and using the same type of representaion as that of (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\dagger} g^{\prime}\right)=\Phi_{00}^{*}(\stackrel{\circ}{g})=\operatorname{det}(\stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w}),  \tag{2.20}\\
& \stackrel{\circ}{g}=g^{\dagger} g^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
w^{\dagger} C^{\dagger} & w^{\dagger} S^{\dagger} \\
-\bar{w}^{\dagger} S & \bar{w}^{\dagger} \tilde{C}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
C^{\prime} w^{\prime} & -S^{\prime \dagger} \bar{w}^{\prime} \\
S^{\prime} w^{\prime} & \tilde{C}^{\prime} \bar{w}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \\
&=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
w^{\dagger}\left(C^{\dagger} C^{\prime}+S^{\dagger} S^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime} & -w^{\dagger}\left(C^{\dagger} S^{\prime \dagger}-S^{\dagger} \tilde{C}^{\prime}\right) \bar{w}^{\prime} \\
-\bar{w}^{\dagger}\left(S C^{\prime}-\tilde{C}^{\dagger} S^{\prime}\right) w^{\prime} & \bar{w}^{\dagger}\left(\tilde{C}^{\dagger} \tilde{C}^{\prime}+S S^{\prime \dagger}\right) \bar{w}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, an explicit expression for the overlap integral is obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(C^{\prime \prime} w^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left\{C^{\dagger}\left(1+C^{\dagger-1} S^{\dagger} S^{\prime} C^{\prime-1}\right) C^{\prime}\right\} \operatorname{det}\left(w^{\dagger}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& =D\left(p^{\prime \mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right) \Phi_{00}(g) \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\prime}\right), \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $D\left(p^{\prime \mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right)$, expressing its other form in appendix D , is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(p^{\prime \mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\prime \mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. TD expansion of a state in a particle-hole frame

Taking the coordinate $g^{\prime}$ instead of the generator coordinate $g^{\circ}$ in (2.20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{00}\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}\left(g^{\prime}\right)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=\operatorname{det}\left(C^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right), \quad g^{\prime}=g \stackrel{\circ}{g} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through (2.12) and (2.15), computation of a determinant of $C^{\prime} w^{\prime}$ is carried out as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}\left(C^{\prime} w^{\prime}\right) & =\operatorname{det}(C w) \operatorname{det}(\stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w}) \operatorname{det}\left[1-(C w)^{-1} S^{\dagger} \tilde{C} q(C w)\right] \\
& =\operatorname{det}(C w) \operatorname{det}(\stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w}) \operatorname{det}\left[(C w)^{-1}\left(1-S^{\dagger} \tilde{C} q\right)(C w)\right] \\
& =\operatorname{det}(C w) \operatorname{det}(\stackrel{\circ}{C} \stackrel{\circ}{w}) \operatorname{det}\left(1+e q^{*}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by using equations (A.1) and (2.9), the $U(N)$ spinor function $\Phi_{0 f}\left(g^{\prime}\right)$ is shown to be in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}\left(g^{\prime}\right)|f\rangle & =\phi_{0 f}\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\left[\Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\prime}\right) e^{p_{i a}^{\prime} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{a}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle\right]^{\dagger}|f\rangle=\mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{\prime *}\right) \Phi_{00}\left(g^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{X}_{f}\left\{p^{*}+q^{*}\left(1+e q^{*}\right)^{-1}\right\} D\left(e q^{*}\right) \Phi_{00}(g) \Phi_{00}(\stackrel{\circ}{g}) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $\mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{* *}\right)$ and $D\left(e q^{*}\right)$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{* *}\right) \equiv\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| e^{p_{i a}^{*} c_{a}^{*} c_{i}}|f\rangle, \quad D\left(e q^{*}\right) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(1+e q^{*}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have used the non-Euclidean transformation (2.16) and the above equations (3.1) and (3.2). From (3.3) we have
$\Phi_{0 f}\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}+K^{*}\right) D\left(e q^{*}\right) \Phi_{00}(g) \phi_{00}(\stackrel{\circ}{g}), \quad K^{*} \equiv q^{*}\left(1+e q^{*}\right)^{-1}$.
Applying the differential formulae of $D\left(e p^{*}\right)$ with respect to $e_{a i}$ in appendix E
$\frac{\partial^{\rho} D\left(e q^{*}\right)}{\partial e_{a_{1} i_{1}} \partial e_{a_{2} i_{2}} \cdots \partial e_{a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}}=\mathcal{A}\left(K_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} K_{i_{2} a_{2}}^{*} \cdots K_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{*}\right) D\left(e q^{*}\right) \quad(\rho=1, \ldots, \min (m, n-m))$
to the Tayler expansion made below and using the anti-symmetric property of the differentials of $\mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}\right)}{\partial p_{j b}^{*} \partial p_{i a}^{*}}=-\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}\right)}{\partial p_{i b}^{*} \partial p_{j a}^{*}}, \ldots \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can make a Tayler expansion of $\mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}+K^{*}\right)$ in (3.5) with respect to $K^{*}$. A matrix element $p_{i a}^{*}$ appears only once in $\mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}\right)$, because the $\mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}\right)$ is an anti-symmetric function of $p^{*}$, which is proved with the use of equations (B.21) and (B.22). Then, the Tayler series leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}+K^{*}\right) D\left(e q^{*}\right)= & \mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}\right) D\left(e q^{*}\right)+\sum_{\rho=1}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\
m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \frac{\partial^{\rho} \mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}\right)}{\partial p_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} \partial p_{i_{2} a_{2}}^{*} \cdots \partial p_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{*}} \\
& \times \mathcal{A}\left(K_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} K_{i_{2} a_{2}}^{*} \cdots K_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{*}\right) D\left(e q^{*}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, with the help of the expression for the $D\left(p^{\prime \mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right)$ in appendix D , the explicit expression for the $D\left(e q^{*}\right)$ is calculated to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(e q^{*}\right)=\sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\ m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \mathcal{A}\left(e_{a_{1} i_{1}} \cdots e_{a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(q_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} \cdots q_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{*}\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{D}^{\rho}=1$ for $\rho=0$. Substituting (3.9) into (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{0 f}\left(g^{\prime}\right) & =\mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}+K^{*}\right) D\left(e q^{*}\right) \Phi_{00}(g) \Phi_{00}(\stackrel{\circ}{g}) \\
& =\Phi_{00}(g) \sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\
m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \mathcal{A}\left(q_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} \cdots q_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{*}\right) \mathcal{D}_{a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\rho} \mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}\right) \Phi_{00}(\stackrel{\circ}{g}), \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $\rho$ th-order covariant differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\rho}$ is defined as
$\mathcal{D}_{a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\rho} \equiv \mathcal{A}\left(e_{a_{1} i_{1}} \cdots e_{a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}\right)+\mathcal{A}\left(e_{a_{2} i_{2}} \cdots e_{a_{\rho} i_{\rho}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a_{1} i_{1}}^{\dagger}}\right)+\cdots+\mathcal{A}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a_{1} i_{1}}^{\dagger}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\dagger}}\right)$.

From the second equation of (2.15), we have an explicit form of $q^{*}$ as $q_{i a}^{*}=\left\{(\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{\mathrm{T}-1}\right\}_{i j} \stackrel{\circ}{p}_{j b}^{*}$ $\times\left\{(C w)^{*-1}\right\}_{b a}$, in which we have used again the dummy index convention to sum up repeated indices $j$ and $b$. Substituting this into (3.10) and defining the differential operator $\Delta_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{\rho}\left(\Delta^{\rho}=1\right.$ for $\left.\rho=0\right)$ as
$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{\rho} \equiv & \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\ m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}}\left\{(C w)^{*-1}\right\}_{b_{1} a_{1}}\left\{(\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{-1}\right\}_{j_{1} i_{1}} \cdots\left\{(C w)^{*-1}\right\}_{b_{\rho} a_{\rho}} \\ & \times\left\{(\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{-1}\right\}_{j_{\rho} i_{\rho}} \mathcal{D}_{a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\rho},\end{aligned}$
we finally get
$\Phi_{0 f}\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\phi_{0 f}(g \stackrel{\circ}{g})=\phi_{00}(g) \sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \mathcal{A}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{p}_{j_{1} b_{1}}^{*} \cdots \stackrel{\circ}{p}{ }_{j_{\rho} b_{\rho}}^{*}\right) \Delta_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{\rho} \mathcal{X}_{f}\left(p^{*}\right) \Phi_{00}(\stackrel{\circ}{g})$,
which is the dyadic TD expansion of a state function $\Phi_{0 f}\left(g^{\prime}\right)$ in the $g$ particle-hole frame.
Fermion many-body systems always have a symmetry group $s$ which is a subgroup of the $U(n)$ group. An eigenstate of a Hamiltonian $H$ belongs to an irreducible representation of the symmetry group. We denote the irreducible representation by $I$, the quantum number to specify its orthogonal bases by $M$ and the other quantum numbers by $\omega$. The symmetry group $s$ is an element of the $U(n)$ group and there is a $U(n)$ canonical transformation $U(s)$ corresponding to $s$. Following [2], we give a generator coordinate representation of a symmetry adapted state vector $|I M \omega\rangle$ in terms of the projected $U(n)$ wave function. The state vector $|f\rangle=|I M \omega\rangle$ is transformed by $U(s)$ as
$U(s)|I M \omega\rangle=\sum_{K}|I K \omega\rangle D_{K M}^{I}(s), \quad s=\left[\begin{array}{cc}s_{h} & 0 \\ 0 & s_{p}\end{array}\right], \quad s^{\dagger} s=s s^{\dagger}=1_{n}$,
where $D_{K M}^{I}(s)$ 's are the so-called $D$ functions, which are the matrix elements of the representation matrix of the irreducible representation $I$ of the group $s$. From (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(s)|I M \omega\rangle={ }_{n} C_{m} \int U(s g)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g)|I M \omega\rangle d g . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation (3.15) by $D_{K M}^{I *}(s)$, integrating over the group $s$, and using (3.14) and the orthogonality relation for the $D$ functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int D_{K M}^{I *}(s) D_{K^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{I^{\prime}}(s) d s=[d(I)]^{-1} \delta_{I I^{\prime}} \delta_{K K^{\prime}} \delta_{M M^{\prime}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain a generator coordinate representation of the symmetry adapted state vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I K \omega\rangle=d(I)_{n} C_{m} \int\left|\Phi_{K M}^{I}(g)\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g)|I M \omega\rangle d g \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d(I)$ is the dimension of the representation $I$ and the volume of the symmetry group $s$. The state vector $\left|\Phi_{K M}^{I}(g)\right\rangle$ is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\Phi_{K M}^{I}(g)\right\rangle \equiv \int D_{K M}^{I *}(s) U(s g)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle d s \\
& \left\langle\Phi_{K M}^{I}(g) \mid \Phi_{K^{\prime} M^{\prime}}^{I^{\prime}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=[d(I)]^{-1} \delta_{I I^{\prime}} \delta_{K K^{\prime}} S_{M M^{\prime}}^{I}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.18}\\
& S_{M M^{\prime}}^{I}\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \equiv \int D_{M M^{\prime}}^{I *}\left(s^{\prime}\right) S\left(g, s^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right) d s^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

which is just the Peierls-Yoccoz symmetry projected HF wave function [2, 5, 10, 12].

## 4. $U(n)$ dyadic TD equation

Multiplying equation (2.9) by $\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g) X$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g) X|f\rangle={ }_{n} C_{m} \int X\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{00}\left(g^{\prime}\right) d g^{\prime}  \tag{4.1}\\
& X\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \equiv\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g) X U\left(g^{\prime}\right)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, from the definition (4.1) and equation (A.1), the integral operator $X\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
X\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) & =\left[\phi_{00}^{*}(g) e^{p_{i a} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{a}} \mid \phi_{m}\right]^{\dagger} X \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\prime}\right) e^{p_{j b}^{\prime} b_{j}^{c_{j}^{c}} c_{b}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| e^{p_{i a}^{*} c_{a}^{\dagger} c_{i}} X e^{p_{j b}^{\prime} \epsilon_{j}^{c} c_{b}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle \Phi_{00}(g) \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\prime}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

from which the integral operator is expressed with $p^{*}$ and $p^{\prime}$ as
$X\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=X\left(p^{*}, p^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{00}(g) \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\prime}\right), \quad X\left(p^{*}, p^{\prime}\right) \equiv\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| e^{p_{i a}^{*} c_{a}^{*} c_{i}} X e^{p_{j b}^{\prime} c_{j}^{*} c_{b}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle$.
Applying equation (3.13) to equation (4.3), then, the dyadic TD expansion of an operator $X$ in the two particle-hole frames $\hat{g}$ and $\check{g}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(\hat{g} \hat{g}, \check{g}, \stackrel{\circ}{g})=\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(\hat{g} \hat{g}) X U(\check{g} \stackrel{\circ}{g})\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be obtained in the following way: putting $\hat{g}^{\prime}=\hat{g} \hat{\hat{g}}$, the integral operator $X\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)$ is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(\hat{g} \hat{g}, \check{g}, \stackrel{\circ}{g})=\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}\left(\hat{g}^{\prime}\right) X U\left(\check{g}^{\prime}\right)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=\left[\Phi_{00}^{*}\left(\hat{g}^{\prime}\right) e^{\hat{p}^{\prime}{ }_{i a} c_{i}^{c_{i}^{\dagger}} c_{a}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle\right]^{\dagger} X \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(\check{g}^{\prime}\right) e^{\check{\breve{p}}_{j b}^{\prime} c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{b}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| e^{\hat{p}^{\prime *}}{ }_{i a} c_{a}^{c^{c}} c_{i} X e^{\check{p}_{j}^{\prime} b_{j} c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{b}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle \Phi_{00}\left(\hat{g}^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(\check{g}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\hat{p}^{\prime *}, \breve{p}^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{00}\left(\hat{g}^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(\check{g}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\hat{p}^{*}+\hat{K}^{*}, \check{p}+\check{K}\right) D\left(\hat{e} \hat{q}^{*}\right) D\left(\check{e}^{*} \check{q}\right) \Phi_{00}(\hat{g}) \Phi_{00}(\stackrel{\circ}{\hat{g}}) \Phi_{00}^{*}(\check{g}) \Phi_{00}^{*}(\stackrel{\circ}{g}), \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\hat{p}^{\prime *}, \check{p}^{\prime}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\hat{p}^{\prime *}, \check{p}^{\prime}\right) \equiv\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| e^{\hat{p}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i a}{ }^{\dagger} \epsilon_{a}^{c} c_{i}} X e^{\check{p}_{j}^{\prime} b_{b} c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{b}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=X\left(\hat{p}^{\prime *}, \check{p}^{\prime}\right), \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where we have used the relation $\hat{p}^{\prime}=\hat{p}+\hat{q}\left(1+\hat{e}^{*} \hat{q}\right)^{-1}=\hat{p}+\hat{K}$, derived from the relation (2.16) and the definition in (3.5). The function $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\hat{p}^{\prime *}, \check{p}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies the anti-symmetric properties of the differentials with respects to $\hat{p}^{*}$ and $\check{p}$ each of which is quite similar to that in (3.7). According to equation (3.8), the $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{X}}$ can also be cast to

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\hat{p}^{*}+\hat{K}^{*}, \check{p}+\check{K}\right)= & \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\hat{p}^{*}, \check{p}+\check{K}\right)+\sum_{\rho=1}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\
m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \frac{\partial^{\rho} \mathcal{X}_{X}\left(\hat{p}^{*}, \check{p}+\check{K}\right)}{\partial \hat{p}_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} \cdots \partial \hat{p}_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{*}} \\
& \times \mathcal{A}\left(\hat{K}^{*}{ }_{i_{1} a_{1}} \cdots \hat{K}^{*}{ }_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

From the above equation, we have
$\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\hat{p}^{*}+\hat{K}^{*}, \check{p}+\check{K}\right) D\left(\hat{e} \hat{q}^{*}\right)=\sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\ m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \mathcal{A}\left(\hat{q}_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} \cdots \hat{q}_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{*}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\rho} X\left(\hat{p}^{*}, \check{p}+\check{K}\right)$.

Similarly, we get
$\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{X}}\left(\hat{p}^{*}, \check{p}+\check{K}\right) D\left(\check{e}^{*} \check{q}\right)=\sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\ m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \mathcal{A}\left(\check{q}_{i_{1} a_{1}} \cdots \check{q}_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}\right) \check{\mathcal{D}}_{a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\rho *} X\left(\hat{p}^{*}, \check{p}\right)$.
Combining (4.8) with (4.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
X(\hat{g} \stackrel{\circ}{\hat{g}}, \stackrel{\circ}{g} \stackrel{\circ}{g})= & \sum_{\rho^{\prime}=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<a^{\prime} \leqslant m ; \\
m+1 \leqslant i_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<i_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime} \leqslant n}} \mathcal{A}\left(\hat{q}_{i_{1}^{\prime} a_{1}^{\prime}}^{*} \cdots \hat{q}_{i_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}, a_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{*}\right) \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{a_{1}^{\prime} i_{1}^{\prime} \cdots a_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime} i^{\prime} i_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\rho_{\rho=0}^{\prime}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\
m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}}^{M} \sum_{\substack{ }} \\
& \times \mathcal{A}\left(\check{q}_{i_{1} a_{1}} \cdots \check{q}_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}\right) \check{\mathcal{D}}_{a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{\rho *} X\left(\hat{p}^{*}, \check{p}\right) \Phi_{00}(\hat{g}) \Phi_{00}(\stackrel{\circ}{g}) \Phi_{00}^{*}(\stackrel{\check{g}}{)}) \Phi_{00}^{*}(\stackrel{\circ}{g}) . \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing again the differential operator $\Delta_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{\rho}\left(\Delta^{\rho}=1\right.$ for $\left.\rho=0\right)$ defined in (3.12), we can get the $U(n)$ dyadic TD expansion of any operator $X$ in the two particle-hole frames $\hat{g}$ and $\check{g}$

$$
\begin{align*}
X(\hat{g} \stackrel{\circ}{g}, \stackrel{\circ}{g} \stackrel{\circ}{g})= & \Phi_{00}(\hat{g}) \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(\stackrel{\check{g}}{)} \sum_{\rho^{\prime}=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant b_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<b_{\rho^{\prime}} \leqslant m ; \\
m+1 \leqslant j_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<j_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime} \leqslant n}} \mathcal{A}\left(\stackrel{\circ}{p}_{j_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots \stackrel{\circ}{p}_{j_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}, b_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{*}\right) \hat{\Delta}_{b_{1}^{\prime} j_{1}^{\prime} \cdots b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\rho^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \times \sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant b_{1}<\cdots<b_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\
m+1 \leqslant j_{1}<\cdots<j_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \mathcal{A}\left(\check{\sim}_{j_{1} b_{1}} \cdots \stackrel{\circ}{p}_{j_{\rho} b_{\rho}}\right) \check{\Delta}_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{\rho *} X\left(\hat{p}^{*}, \check{p}\right) \Phi_{00}(\stackrel{\circ}{g}) \Phi_{00}^{*}(\stackrel{\circ}{g}) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left|\Phi_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{\rho}(\check{g})\right\rangle=d_{b_{1}}^{\dagger}(\check{g}) d_{j_{1}}^{\dagger}(\check{g}) \cdots d_{b_{\rho}}^{\dagger}(\check{g}) d_{j_{\rho}}^{\dagger}(\check{g}) U(\check{g})\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle$ be the TD basis with $\rho$ particlehole pairs in a physical fermion space and $d_{j}^{\dagger}(\breve{g}) \equiv U(\breve{g}) c_{j}^{\dagger} U^{-1}(\check{g})$ and $d_{b}^{\dagger}(\check{g}) \equiv U(\breve{g}) c_{b} U^{-1}(\check{g})$ be the creation operators of a $\check{g}$ particle and hole frame. The dyadic TD matrix elements of $X$ are therefore given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{b_{1}^{\prime} j_{1}^{\prime} \cdots b_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime} j_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\rho^{\prime}}(\hat{g})\right| X\left|\Phi_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{\rho}(\check{g})\right\rangle=\Phi_{00}(\hat{g}) \Phi_{00}^{*}(\check{g}) \hat{\Delta}_{b_{1}^{\prime} j_{1}^{\prime} \cdots b_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime} j_{\rho^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\rho_{b_{1}}^{\rho_{1}} \check{L}_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}{ }^{\rho *} X\left(\hat{p}^{*}, \check{p}\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We expand the state $|I K \omega\rangle$ in terms of the states $\left|\Phi_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{\rho}(\check{g})\right\rangle$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I K \omega\rangle=\sum_{\rho} \sum_{\left(b_{1} j_{1}\right)<\cdots<\left(b_{\rho} j_{\rho}\right)} \Gamma_{K \omega, b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{I}\left|\Phi_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{\rho}(\check{g})\right\rangle, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is just the dyadic TD expansion of the eigenstate of the Hamiltonian $H$. The summation convention over the indices in (4.13), but simply abbreviated, means the one appeared in (4.11). After making the variation of the energy $E_{\omega}^{I}=\langle I K \omega| H|I K \omega\rangle /\langle I K \omega \mid I K \omega\rangle$, we apply equation (4.12) to the operator $H_{K K}^{I}-E_{\omega}^{I} S_{K K}^{I}$ and put $\hat{g}=\check{g}=g$. Then, we finally get the $U(n)$ dyadic TD equation to determine the expansion coefficients $\Gamma_{K \omega, b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{I}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\rho^{\prime}} \sum_{\left(b_{1} j_{1}\right)<\cdots<\left(b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{\rho^{\prime}}\right)} \Delta^{\rho}{ }_{a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}} \delta^{\rho^{\prime} *}{ }_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{\rho^{\prime}}}\left\{H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)-E_{\omega}^{I} S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)\right\} \Gamma_{K \omega, b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{\rho^{\prime}}}^{I}=0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the quantities $H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)$ and $S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)$ are given through the following relations:
$H_{K K}^{I}(g, g)=\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g) H\left|\Phi_{K K}^{I}(g)\right\rangle=\int D_{K K}^{I *}(s) H(g, s g) d s=H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)\left|\Phi_{00}(g)\right|^{2}$,
$S_{K K}^{I}(g, g)=\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g)\left|\Phi_{K K}^{I}(g)\right\rangle=\int D_{K K}^{I *}(s) S(g, s g) d s=S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)\left|\Phi_{00}(g)\right|^{2}$.
Let us introduce the modified dyadic TD coefficients

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho} j_{\rho}}^{I} \equiv & \sum_{\left(a_{1} i_{1}\right)<\cdots<\left(a_{\rho} i_{\rho}\right)}\left\{(C w)^{*-1}\right\}_{b_{1} a_{1}}\left\{(\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{-1}\right\}_{j_{1} i_{1}} \cdots\left\{(C w)^{*-1}\right\}_{b_{\rho} a_{\rho}} \\
& \times\left\{(\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{-1}\right\}_{j_{\rho} i_{\rho}} \Gamma_{K \omega, a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{I} . \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, equation (4.14) is converted to the projected $U(n)$ dyadic TD equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\rho^{\prime}} \sum_{\left(b_{1} j_{1}\right)<\cdots<\left(b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{\left.\rho^{\prime}\right)}\right.} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}{ }_{a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}} \mathcal{D}^{\rho^{\prime} *}{ }_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{l^{\prime}}}\left\{H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)-E_{\omega}^{I} S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)\right\} \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{\rho^{\prime}}}^{I}=0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modified coefficients $\mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b_{1} j_{1} \ldots b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{\rho^{\prime}}}^{I}$ have to satisfy the normalization condition

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\rho, \rho^{\prime}} \sum_{\left(a_{1} i_{1}\right)<\cdots<\left(a_{\rho} i_{\rho}\right),\left(b_{1} j_{1}\right)<\cdots<\left(b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{\rho^{\prime}}\right)} \mathcal{D}^{\rho}{ }_{a_{1} i_{1} \ldots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}} \mathcal{D}^{\rho^{\prime} *}{ }_{b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{l_{\rho^{\prime}}}} S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right) \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, a_{1} i_{1} \cdots a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}^{I *} \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b_{1} j_{1} \cdots b_{\rho^{\prime}} j_{\rho^{\prime}}}^{I} \\
& \quad=d(I) \cdot\left|\Phi_{00}(g)\right|^{-2}, \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

which is obtained from (3.17) and $\langle I K \omega \mid I K \omega\rangle=1$.

## 5. First-order approximation to projected $\boldsymbol{U}(\mathrm{n})$ TD equation

We make an approximation to the projected $U(n)$ TD expansion of the state $|I K \omega\rangle$, (4.13) up to the first order and determine simultaneously both the expansion coefficients and the coset variable $p$ in equation (4.17). With this approximation, it is possible to get easily the best $p$ in determining them variationally, by using the same state vector. To look for the best $p$, both the projected $U(n)$ TD equation (4.17) and the equation for $p$ must be treated as a set of equations, which should be solved self-consistently. However, in order to make our calculations manageable, we decouple the equation for $p$ from the projected $U(n)$ TD equation.

We adopt the following first-order approximation of the projected $U(n)$ TD expansion of the state $|I K \omega\rangle$ :
$|I K \omega\rangle_{\mathrm{ap}}=|I K \omega\rangle^{(0)}+|I K \omega\rangle^{(1)}=\sum_{M}\left\{\mathcal{C}_{M \omega}^{I}\left|\Phi_{M K}^{I}(p)\right\rangle+\sum_{a i} \mathcal{C}_{M \omega, a i}^{I} \mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1 *}\left|\Phi_{M K}^{I}(p)\right\rangle\right\} \Phi_{00}^{*}(g)$,
which gives the first-order projected $U(n)$ TD basis elements within the approximation ignoring two or more particle-hole pair excitations. We have used the relation $\left|\Phi_{M K}^{I}(g)\right\rangle=$ $\left|\Phi_{M K}^{I}(p)\right\rangle \Phi_{00}^{*}(g)$. The norm of the $|I K \omega\rangle_{\text {ap }}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{\text {approx }}^{I K \omega}(g, g)= & N_{\text {approx }}^{I K \omega}\left(p^{*}, p\right) \cdot\left|\Phi_{00}^{*}(g)\right|^{2}, \\
N_{\text {approx }}^{I K \omega}\left(p^{*}, p\right)= & {[d(I)]^{-1} \cdot \sum_{M}\left\{\mathcal{C}_{M \omega}^{I *} \mathcal{C}_{M \omega}^{I}+\sum_{a i}\left(\mathcal{C}_{M \omega}^{I *} \mathcal{C}_{M \omega, a i}^{I} \mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1 *}+\mathcal{C}_{M \omega, a i}^{I *} \mathcal{C}_{M \omega}^{I} \mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1}\right)\right.}  \tag{5.2}\\
& \left.+\sum_{a i} \sum_{b j} \mathcal{C}_{M \omega, a i}^{I *} \mathcal{C}_{M \omega, b j}^{I} \mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1 *}\right\} \cdot S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $W_{K \omega}^{I}$ be an approximate value of the energy $E_{\omega}^{I}$ in the approximate eigenstate $|I K \omega\rangle_{\text {ap }}$. Along the same line as the above, the projected $U(n)$ TD equation (4.17) is also approximated up to first order as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right) \mathcal{C}_{K \omega}^{I}+\sum_{b j} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1 *} H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right) \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b j}^{I} \\
& -W_{K \omega}^{I}\left\{S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right) \mathcal{C}_{K \omega}^{I}+\sum_{b j} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1 *} S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right) \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b j}^{I}\right\}=0 \\
& \mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1} H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right) \mathcal{C}_{K \omega}^{I}+\sum_{b j} \mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1 *} H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right) \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b j}^{I}  \tag{5.3}\\
& -W_{K \omega}^{I}\left\{\mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1} S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right) \mathcal{C}_{K \omega}^{I}+\sum_{b j} \mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1 *} S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right) \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b j}^{I}\right\}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

a set of which is an eigenvalue equation containing an unknown coset variable $p$. We can determine it by the variational equation for $p, \delta_{p} W_{K \omega}^{I}=\delta_{p}\left\{\langle I K \omega| H|I K \omega\rangle_{\text {ap }} /\langle I K \omega \mid I K \omega\rangle_{\text {ap }}\right\}=$ 0 , from which the equation for $p$ is given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{\partial H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)}{\partial p_{a i}^{\dagger}}-W_{K \omega}^{I} \frac{\partial S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)}{\partial p_{a i}^{\dagger}}\right\} \mathcal{C}_{K \omega}^{I *} \mathcal{C}_{K \omega}^{I} \\
& \quad+\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a i}^{\dagger}} \sum_{b j} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1 *} H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)-W_{K \omega}^{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a i}^{\dagger}} \sum_{b j} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1 *} S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)\right\} \mathcal{C}_{K \omega}^{I *} \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b j}^{I} \\
& \quad+\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a i}^{\dagger}} \sum_{b j} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1} H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)-W_{K \omega}^{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a i}^{\dagger}} \sum_{b j} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1} S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)\right\} \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b j}^{I *} \mathcal{C}_{K \omega}^{I} \\
& \quad+\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a i}^{\dagger}} \sum_{b j} \sum_{c k} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{c k}^{1 *} H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)-W_{K \omega}^{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a i}^{\dagger}} \sum_{b j} \sum_{c k} \mathcal{D}_{b j}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{c k}^{1 *} S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)\right\} \\
& \quad \times \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, b j}^{I *} \mathcal{C}_{K \omega, c k}^{I}=0, \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

to which substitution of the lowest energy solution of the eigenvalue equation (5.3) determines the $p^{\dagger}$. Equation (5.4) is also derived by differentiations of (5.3) with respect to $p_{a i}^{\dagger}$. Then, the eigenvalue equation (5.3) should be solved keeping the solved eigenvalue to be compatible with the $p^{\dagger}$ determined variationally.

To get a self-consistent optimal solution of the set of equations (5.3) and (5.4), it may be powerful to adopt the optimization algorithm consisting of some iteration steps [5, 7]. Under the change of the particle-hole frame, $g \rightarrow g \stackrel{\circ}{g}$ and $p \rightarrow p^{\prime}$, we calculate the differential of $W_{K \omega}^{I}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ up to the same order as the one in (5.4). Let us start to solve the eigenvalue equation (5.3) with a trial $p^{\dagger}$. Substitute its lowest energy solution and the trial $p^{\dagger}$ into the approximate differential formula for $W_{K \omega}^{I}\left(p^{\prime}\right)$. Our desired $q^{\dagger}$ is determined so as to satisfy $\partial W_{K \omega}^{I}\left(p^{\prime}\right) / \partial q_{a i}^{\dagger}=0$, though we omit its derivative formula which is very complicated. Assuming the $q^{\dagger}$ to be small and using the dyadic TD expansion of any operator $X$ (4.10), we can compute the derivative formula up to second order in $q$ and $q^{*}$. Then, the iteration steps proceed a way quite parallel to the one taken in [5, 7]. In each step, we can calculate the $p^{\prime \dagger}$ in the left-hand side of equation (2.16) from $p^{\dagger}$ and $q^{\dagger}$ and use it as the new $p^{\dagger}$ of the next iteration cycle.

## 6. Summary and discussions

The $U(n)$ TD method discards the IPA as the starting approximation but its first-order approximation describes stationary states of Bose condensed particle-hole pairs. The pairs are in a coherent motion affiliated with a certain symmetry of a system since the state of particlehole pairs is changing under an operation of the symmetry. The Peierls-Yoccoz projection selects out the stationary states of the coherent motion because the representation matrices of the symmetry group $s$ are the eigenstates of the motion. To go beyond the zeroth-order approximation, we have developed the first-order approximation of the symmetry-projected $U(n)$ TD equation keeping the non-Euclidean transformation rule (2.16). We can reduce the first-order equation to simpler forms, though we omit the details here. A manipulation is based on both the character theory of group and the recursion relation associated with the Schur function, i.e. the character polynomials corresponding to the completely anti-symmetric Young diagram. Our theory has been constructed by a group-theoretical deduction and hence has a universal applicability. Due to its physical aspects, it is expected to work better in nuclear and molecular systems with strong collective correlations, where ground states are well approximated by Bose condensates. It provides a general microscopic tool for a unified understanding of collective excitations in such fermion systems.

The first-order approximation (5.1) is expected to work better than the IPA to describe ground and excited states of the fermion systems with strong collective correlations. The reason for the expectation is mainly due to the following points: (i) easier diagonalization of the eigenvalue equation and faster convergence may be achieved simultaneously compared with the diagonalization and convergence of the original eigenvalue equation, because we make no use of an unstable IPA wave function from the outset; (ii) through all the iteration steps for the optimization, we adopt the first-order covariant differential operator instead of the usual first derivative, to evaluate derivatives of the Hamiltonian matrix element and the overlap integral in the particle-hole pair excitation states. The covarint derivative yields important different results compared with the use of the usual derivative, e.g. the trivially identical equation $\mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1 *} S\left(p^{*}, p\right)=0$. If the condition $\mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1 *} S_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)=0$ is satisfied for any particle-hole pair ia, the generalized Brillouin theorem $\mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1 *} H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)=0$ holds exactly. An action of the $\mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1 *}$ brings no essential difference in the results obtained by the
usual derivatives [6]. In the symmetry-projected $U(n)$ case, the condition is hardly satisfied and the generalized Brillouin theorem is not established exactly. To satisfy the condition, another one called the killer condition must be fulfilled. See the details in [6, 8]. To see this, diagonalize $\zeta$ as $\zeta_{i a}=\sum_{A=1}^{M} \tilde{v}_{i A} \zeta_{A} v_{a A}^{*}$, where $\tilde{v}=\left(\tilde{v}_{i A}\right)$ and $v=\left(v_{a A}\right)$ are $(n-m) \times M$ and $m \times M$ matrices. Then, we have $p_{i a}=\sum_{A=1}^{M} \tilde{v}_{i A} p_{A} v_{a A}^{*}\left(p_{A}=\tan \zeta_{A}\right)$ and $e_{a i}=-\sum_{A=1}^{M} v_{a A}^{*} p_{A}\left(1+p_{A}^{2}\right)^{-1} \tilde{v}_{i A}$ [2]. In (3.14), for simplicity putting $s_{h}=1_{m}$ and $\tilde{s}=s_{p}$, from these relations and $\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \tilde{v}_{i A}^{*}(\tilde{s} \tilde{v})_{i B}=z_{A}(\tilde{s}) \delta_{A B}$, we get the killer condition
$\sum_{A=1}^{M} v_{a A}^{*} \frac{p_{A}}{1+p_{A}^{2}} \int D_{K K}^{I *}(\tilde{s})\left[\tilde{v}_{i A}-\frac{1+p_{A}^{2}}{1+z_{A}(\tilde{s}) p_{A}^{2}}(\tilde{s} \tilde{v})_{i A}\right] \operatorname{det}\left\{1+p^{\dagger}(\tilde{s} p)\right\} d \tilde{s}=0$.
Rowe et al have decoupled the variational equation for ground state and the IPA equation for excited states from each other [13]. On the other hand, due to the above reason, we have matrix elements between the zeroth-order component of the $U(n)$ TD wave function and the first-order one which includes contributions from all pairwise excitations. Then, our eigenvalue equation (5.3) becomes suitable for the description of such a strong coupling between the ground state and the excited state of soft nuclei with strong collective correlations. Nevertheless, suppose a slightly loose condition called the weak killer condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int D_{K K}^{I *}(\tilde{s})\left[1-\frac{z_{A}(\tilde{s})\left(1+p_{A}^{2}\right)}{1+z_{A}(\tilde{s}) p_{A}^{2}}\right] \operatorname{det}\left\{1+p^{\dagger}(\tilde{s} p)\right\} d \tilde{s}=0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is derived by multiplying (6.1) with $\tilde{v}_{i A}^{*}$ for any $A$ and summing up over $i$ and whose original form has appeared first in [7]. Employing this instead of the original strong killer condition, we are able to ensure the generalized Brillouin theorem $\mathcal{D}_{a i}^{1 *} H_{K K}^{I}\left(p^{*}, p\right)=0$, which in turn resolves the eigenvalue equation (5.3) into two secular equations and determines the ground state and the excited states, separately. Furthermore, using the mathematical technique in appendix D and adopting the same method as the one in [7], this eigenvalue equation is expressed in terms of the Schur function. Then, the handling of the eigenvalue equation (5.3) becomes very easy. It is solved self-consistently keeping the non-Euclidean property of transformation. Adding these, we have another advantage that the $U(n)$ TD approximation can be extended up to any higher order if necessary. Throughout this paper emphasis has been put on explaining the rather basic idea which is developed from the previous attempt [7]. The present discussions have been made in the general form as much as possible.

In a forthcoming paper, we shall illustrate a practical usefulness of the first-order $U(n)$ TD approximation. This approximation is tractable by calculation of equations (5.3) and (5.4) for the simplest schematic models of nuclei, e.g. the famous two-level $S U(2)$ Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick (LMG) Hamiltonian [14, 15] and the three-level $S U(3)$ LMG Hamiltonian [16]; both of them have non-degenerate single-particle energies. The $S U(3)$ model poses a non-trivial problem for finding the solution but still simple enough to allow the calculation of equations (5.3) and (5.4) and comparisons with the solution by the ordinary TD approximation and the exact solution, though the $S U(2)$ is a too simple toy model to compare with them. Furthermore, it is very interesing to investigate whether the weak killer condition may hold with good accuracy or not for the $S U(2)$ and $S U(3)$ LMG model Hamiltonians, respectively.

In conclusion, we have developed the first-order approximation to the projected $U(n)$ dyadic TD equation keeping the non-Euclidean property of transformation by the generator coordinate. The approximate equation can be reduced to simpler forms by the Schur function of group characters which makes possible to connect the present theory with the soliton theory on the group manifold [17-19].

## Appendix A. Slater determinant and Plücker relation

Using the representation of $g$ and the variable $p$ of the coset space defined in section 2, following Fukutome [10], we express the third equation of (2.4), $m$ particle S-det as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(g)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U\left(g_{\zeta} g_{w}\right)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle e^{p_{i a} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{a}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle, \quad\left(g=g_{\zeta} g_{w}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+\sum_{\rho=1}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m, m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \mathcal{A}\left(p_{i_{1} a_{1}} \cdots p_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}\right) c_{i_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{a_{1}} \cdots c_{i_{\rho}}^{\dagger} c_{a_{\rho}}=e^{p_{i a} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{a}},  \tag{A.2}\\
& \left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U\left(g_{\zeta} g_{w}\right)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=\left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \operatorname{det} w,
\end{align*}
$$

and the definition

$$
\mathcal{A}\left(p_{i, a_{1}} \cdots p_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}\right) \stackrel{d}{=} \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
p_{i, a_{1}} & \cdots & p_{i, a_{\rho}}  \tag{A.3}\\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
p_{i_{\rho} a_{1}} & \cdots & p_{i_{\rho} a_{p}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

In equation (A.2) the maximum value $M$ is given by $M=\min (n-m, m)$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is an antisymmetrizer.

On the other hand, in the $G r_{m}$ (2.5) we can introduce an expression called the Plücker coordinate, which has played important roles for an algebraic construction of soliton theory in its early stage [20],

$$
\begin{align*}
& U(g)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=\sum_{1 \leqslant \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \leqslant n} v_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}}^{1, \ldots, m}(g) c_{\alpha_{m}}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{\alpha_{1}}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\
& v_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}}^{1, \ldots, m}(g)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{rll}
g_{\alpha_{1}, 1} & \cdots & g_{\alpha_{1}, m} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
g_{\alpha_{m}, 1} & \cdots & g_{\alpha_{m}, m}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { (Plücker coordinate). } \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

From an elementary determinantal calculus, we prove easily that the Plücker coordinate has a relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}(-1)^{i-1} v_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}, \beta_{i}}^{1, \ldots, m} \cdot v_{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{i-1}, \beta_{i+1}, \ldots, \beta_{m+1}}^{1, \ldots, m}=0 \quad \text { (Plücker relation) } \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the indices denote the distinct sets $1 \leqslant \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1} \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m+1} \leqslant n$.
Now we study a relation between coset coordinates appearing in (A.2) and Plücker coordinates in (A.4). Each coordinate makes a crucial role to construct the time-dependent HF theory [1] and the soliton theory [18] on the $G r_{m}$. Using expressions for unoccupied and occupied states in (A.2), we can rewrite (A.4) as

$$
\begin{align*}
U(g)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle= & \left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle+\sum_{\rho=1}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m, m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} v_{1, \ldots, a_{1}-1, a_{1}+1, \ldots, a_{\rho}-1, a_{\rho}+1, \ldots, m, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta} g_{w}\right) \\
& \cdot c_{i_{\rho}}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{i_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{m}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{a_{\rho}+1}^{\dagger} c_{a_{\rho}-1}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{a_{1}+1}^{\dagger} c_{a_{1}-1}^{\dagger} \cdots c_{1}^{\dagger}|0\rangle \\
= & \left.\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle+v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta} g_{w}\right) \sum_{\rho=1}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m, m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \frac{v_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}, \ldots, m}}{v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta} g_{\zeta}\right)} g_{w}\right) \\
& \cdot c_{i_{1}}^{\dagger} c_{a_{1}} \cdots c_{i_{\rho}}^{\dagger} c_{a_{\rho}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle . \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The last line of the above is recast again into the form of (A.4) after many time exchanges between $c_{a_{1}} \cdots c_{a_{\rho}}$ and all creation operators so that all the annihilation operators are ordered in such a way that they are to the right of all the creation operators including the ones in $\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle$. Then we have the relation
$v_{1, \ldots, a_{1}-1, a_{1}+1, \cdots, a_{\rho}-1, a_{\rho}+1, \cdots, m, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}}^{1, \cdots, m}\left(g_{\zeta} g_{w}\right)=(-1)^{\sum_{j=0}^{\rho-1}\left(m-j-a_{\rho-j}\right)} v_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta} g_{w}\right)$,
and the following decompositions:
$v_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta} g_{w}\right)=v_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right) v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{w}\right)$,
$v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta} g_{w}\right)=v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right) v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{w}\right), \quad v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)=\operatorname{det} C(\zeta)=\left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$,
where


Here matrix elements in the $a_{1}$-th, $\ldots$ and $a_{\rho}$-th rows, $C(\zeta)_{a_{1}, 1 \sim m}, \ldots$ and $C(\zeta)_{a_{\rho}, 1 \sim m}$ are replaced with $S(\zeta)_{i_{1}, 1 \sim m}, \ldots$ and $S(\zeta)_{i_{\rho}, 1 \sim m}$ to describe $\rho(1<\rho<m)$ times particle-hole excitations from hole state $a_{1}$ to particle state $i_{1}, \ldots$ and those of hole state $a_{\rho}$ to particle state $i_{\rho}$, respectively.

Equating (A.2) and (A.4) with (A.6) and (A.9), respectively, we obtain the antisymmetrized $\mathcal{A}(\cdots)$ and the coset variable expressed in terms of Plücker coordinates as
$\mathcal{A}\left(p_{i_{1} a_{1}} \cdots p_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}\right)=\frac{v_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)}{v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)}, \quad p_{i a}=\left[S(\zeta) C^{-1}(\zeta)\right]_{i a}=\frac{v_{1, \ldots, \ldots, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)}{v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)}$,
in the second Plücker coordinate of which, only one row matrix elements of its determinantal form (A.9) $C(\zeta)_{a, 1 \sim m}$ are replaced with $S(\zeta)_{i, 1 \sim m}$. Expanding the anti-symmetrized $\mathcal{A}(\cdots)$ in the left-hand side of the first equation of (A.10) with respect to, for example, the first column, we have a decomposition rule

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{v_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)}{v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)} & =\sum_{j=1}^{\rho}(-1)^{j+1} p_{i_{j} a_{1}} \mathcal{A}\left(p_{i_{1} a_{2}} \cdots p_{i_{j-1}, a_{j}} p_{i_{j+1} a_{j+1}} \cdots p_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\rho}(-1)^{j+1} \frac{v_{1, \ldots, i_{j}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots a_{1}, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)}{v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)} \frac{v_{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j-1}, \ldots, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{j}, \ldots, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)}{v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)} \tag{A.11}
\end{align*}
$$

which is rewritten to another form (the second Plücker relation)
$v_{1, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right) v_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{\rho}(-1)^{j} v_{1, \ldots, i_{j}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right) v_{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j-1}, \ldots, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{j}, \ldots, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}, \ldots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right)=0$,
in which a hole state $a_{1}$ in the last Plücker coordinate make no changes $\left(a_{1} \rightarrow a_{1}\right)$ since in the second one a particle-hole excitation already occurred from the hole state $a_{1}$ to the particle state $i_{j}$ [19].

It is well-known that the Plücker relation (A.5) is equivalent to a bilinear identity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} U(g)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle \otimes c_{\alpha} U(g)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} U(g) c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle \otimes U(g) c_{\alpha}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=0 \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which have made an important role to construct many kinds of solitons on various group manifolds by using the corresponding $\tau$-functions [18].

## Appendix B. Derivation of particle-hole operators and vacuum function

Consider a function $\Psi(g)$ on the $U(n)$ group corresponding to a state vector $|\Psi\rangle$ in the fermion space

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Psi\rangle=\int U(g)|0\rangle\langle 0| U^{\dagger}(g)|\Psi\rangle d g=\int U(g)|0\rangle \Psi(g) d g \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d g$ is an invariant group integration over the $U(n)$ group. The explicit representation of $g$ is given by (2.8). When an infinitesimal operator $1+\delta \hat{g}$ and a corresponding infinitesimal unitary transformation $U(1+\delta g)$ is operated on $|\Psi\rangle$, using $U^{-1}(1+\delta g) \simeq U(1-\delta g)$, it transforms $|\Psi\rangle$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
U(1-\delta g)|\Psi\rangle & \equiv(1-\delta \hat{g})|\Psi\rangle=\int U(g)|0\rangle\langle 0| U^{\dagger}((1+\delta g) g)|\Psi\rangle d g \\
& =\int U(g)|0\rangle \Psi((1+\delta g) g) d g=\int U(g)|0\rangle(1+\delta \boldsymbol{g}) \Psi(g) d g \tag{B.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\delta g \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}\delta_{C w} & -\delta_{S^{\dagger} \bar{w}} \\ \delta_{S w} & \delta_{\tilde{C} \bar{w}}\end{array}\right], \quad \begin{array}{ll}\delta \hat{g}=\left(\delta_{C w}\right)_{a b} e_{a b}+\left(\delta_{\tilde{C} \bar{w}}\right)_{i j} e_{i j}+\left(\delta_{S w}\right)_{i a} e^{i a}+\left(\delta_{S^{\dagger} \bar{w}}\right)_{a i} e_{a i}, \\ & \delta \boldsymbol{g}=\left(\delta_{C w}\right)_{a b} \boldsymbol{e}_{a b}+\left(\delta_{\tilde{C} \bar{w}}\right)_{i j} \boldsymbol{e}_{i j}+\left(\delta_{S w}\right)_{i a} \boldsymbol{e}^{i a}+\left(\delta_{S^{\dagger} \bar{w}}\right)_{a i} \boldsymbol{e}_{a i} .\end{array}$
Equation (B.2) shows that the operation of $1-\delta \hat{g}$ on the $|\Psi\rangle$ in the fermion space corresponds to the left multiplication by $1+\delta g$ for the variable of $g$ of the function $\Psi(g)$. For a small parameter $\varepsilon$, we obtain a representaion on $\Psi(g)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(e^{\varepsilon \delta g}\right) \Psi(g)=\Psi\left(e^{-\varepsilon \delta g} g\right)=\Psi(g-\varepsilon \delta g g)=\Psi(g+d g) \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads us to a relation $d g=-\varepsilon \delta g g$. From this, we express it explicitly as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
d g_{a b} & d g_{a i}  \tag{B.5}\\
d g_{i a} & d g_{i j}
\end{array}\right]=-\varepsilon\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left\{\left(\delta_{C w}\right) \cdot(C w)-\left(\delta_{S^{\dagger} \bar{w}}\right) \cdot(S w)\right\}_{a b} & -\left\{\left(\delta_{C w}\right) \cdot\left(S^{\dagger} \bar{w}\right)+\left(\delta_{S^{\dagger} \bar{w}}\right) \cdot(\tilde{C} \bar{w})\right\}_{a i} \\
\left\{\left(\delta_{S w}\right) \cdot(C w)+\left(\delta_{\tilde{C} \bar{w}}\right) \cdot(S w)\right\}_{i a} & \left\{\left(\delta_{\tilde{C} \bar{w}}\right) \cdot(\tilde{C} \bar{w})-\left(\delta_{S w}\right) \cdot\left(S^{\dagger} \bar{w}\right)\right\}_{i j}
\end{array}\right],
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& d g_{a b}=\varepsilon \frac{\partial g_{a b}}{\partial g_{c d}} \frac{\partial g_{c d}}{\partial \varepsilon}=\varepsilon \frac{\partial g_{a b}}{\partial \varepsilon}=-\varepsilon\left\{\left(\delta_{C w}\right) \cdot(C w)-\left(\delta_{S^{\dagger} \bar{w}}\right) \cdot(S w)\right\}_{a b}, \\
& d g_{i a}=\varepsilon \frac{\partial g_{i a}}{\partial g_{j b}} \frac{\partial g_{j b}}{\partial \varepsilon}=\varepsilon \frac{\partial g_{i a}}{\partial \varepsilon}=-\varepsilon\left\{\left(\delta_{S w}\right) \cdot(C w)+\left(\delta_{\tilde{C} \bar{w}}\right) \cdot(S w)\right\}_{i a}, \\
& d g_{a i}=\varepsilon \frac{\partial g_{a i}}{\partial g_{b j}} \frac{\partial g_{b j}}{\partial \varepsilon}=\varepsilon \frac{\partial g_{a i}}{\partial \varepsilon}=\varepsilon\left\{\left(\delta_{C w}\right) \cdot\left(S^{\dagger} \bar{w}\right)+\left(\delta_{S^{\dagger} \bar{w}}\right) \cdot(\tilde{C} \bar{w})\right\}_{a i},  \tag{B.6}\\
& d g_{i j}=\varepsilon \frac{\partial g_{i j}}{\partial g_{k l}} \frac{\partial g_{k l}}{\partial \varepsilon}=\varepsilon \frac{\partial g_{i j}}{\partial \varepsilon}=-\varepsilon\left\{\left(\delta_{\tilde{C} \bar{w}}\right) \cdot(\tilde{C} \bar{w})-\left(\delta_{S w}\right) \cdot\left(S^{\dagger} \bar{w}\right)\right\}_{i j} .
\end{align*}
$$

A differential representaion of $\rho(\delta g), d \rho(\delta g)$, is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \rho(\delta g) \Psi(g)=\left[\frac{\partial g_{a b}}{\partial \varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{a b}}+\frac{\partial g_{i j}}{\partial \varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i j}}+\frac{\partial g_{i a}}{\partial \varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i a}}+\frac{\partial g_{a i}}{\partial \varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{a i}}\right] \Psi(g) . \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (B.6) into (B.7), we can get explicit forms of the differential representaion

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \rho(\delta g) \Psi(g)=\left[\left(\delta_{C w}\right)_{a b} \boldsymbol{e}_{a b}+\left(\delta_{\tilde{C} \bar{w}}\right)_{i j} e_{i j}+\left(\delta_{S w}\right)_{i a} e^{i a}+\left(\delta_{S^{\dagger} \bar{w}}\right)_{a i} \boldsymbol{e}_{a i}\right] \Psi(g)=\delta \boldsymbol{g} \Psi(g) \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each operator in $\delta \boldsymbol{g}$ is expressed in a differential form as
$e_{a b}=-(C w)_{b c} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{a c}}+\left(S^{\dagger} \bar{w}\right)_{b i} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{a i}}, \quad e_{i j}=-(\tilde{C} \bar{w})_{j k} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i k}}-(S w)_{j a} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i a}}$,
$e^{i a}=-(C w)_{a b} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i b}}+\left(S^{\dagger} \bar{w}\right)_{a j} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i j}}, \quad e_{a i}=(\tilde{C} \bar{w})_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{a j}}+(S w)_{i b} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{a b}}$.
Then, partial derivative formulae for group variables can be derived in the following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{a c}} & =\frac{\partial p_{i e}}{\partial C_{a d}}\left(w^{-1}\right)_{c d} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i e}}+\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial(C w)_{a c}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \\
& =-p_{i a}\left((C w)^{-1}\right)_{c e} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i e}}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left[(C w)^{-1}\left\{1+\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{-1}\right\}\right]_{c a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i k}} & =\frac{\partial p_{g a}^{*}}{\partial \tilde{C}^{*}{ }_{l i}}\left(\bar{w}^{-1}\right)_{k l} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{g a}^{*}}+\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial(\tilde{C} \bar{w})_{i k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \\
& =-p_{i a}^{*}\left((\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{-1}\right)_{k g} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{g a}^{*}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left[(\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{-1} p\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{-1} p^{\dagger}\right]_{k i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau},  \tag{B.10}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{i b}} & =\frac{\partial p_{j d}}{\partial S_{i c}}\left(w^{-1}\right)_{b c} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j d}}+\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial(S w)_{i b}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \\
& =\left((C w)^{-1}\right)_{b d} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i d}}-\frac{i}{2}\left[(C w)^{-1}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{-1} p^{\dagger}\right]_{b i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{a i}} & =\frac{\partial p_{k b}^{*}}{\partial\left(-S^{\dagger}\right)_{a j}}\left(\bar{w}^{-1}\right)_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{k b}^{*}}+\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial\left(-S^{\dagger} \bar{w}\right)_{a i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \\
& =-\left((\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{-1}\right)_{i k} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{k a}^{*}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left[(\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{-1} p\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{-1}\right]_{i a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau},
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced a variable $\tau=-i \ln$ det $w$ which is, by means of $p=S C^{-1}=C^{-1} S$, cast to

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau & =-\mathrm{i} \ln \left(\frac{\operatorname{det}(C w)}{\operatorname{det} C}\right)=-\mathrm{i} \ln \operatorname{det}(C w)-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \ln \left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right] \\
& =-\mathrm{i} \ln \operatorname{det}(C w)-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \ln \left[\operatorname{det}\left\{1+\left(S^{\dagger} \bar{w}\right)(\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{-1}(S w)(C w)^{-1}\right\}\right], \tag{B.11}
\end{align*}
$$

which includes the group variables $C w, \tilde{C} \bar{w}, S w$ and $S^{\dagger} \bar{w}$ only in the first order and their inverse $(C w)^{-1}$ and $(\tilde{C} \bar{w})^{-1}$. This expression makes a crucial role to get the correct form of $\tau$-differential. Substituting (B.10) into (B.9), we can get the explicit expressions for the differential operators for particle-hole pairs in the following forms:
$e^{i a} \stackrel{d}{=}-\left(p_{j a}^{*} p_{i b}^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j b}^{*}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i a}}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} p_{i a}^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right), \quad e_{a i} \stackrel{d}{=}-\left(p_{j a} p_{i b} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j b}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i a}^{*}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} p_{i a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right)$,
$e_{a b} \stackrel{d}{=} p_{i a} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i b}}-p_{i b}^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i a}^{*}}+\mathrm{i} \delta_{a b} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \quad e_{i j} \stackrel{d}{=} p_{i a}^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j a}^{*}}-p_{j a} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i a}}$,
by which we can prove that the Lie commutation relation (2.2) is also satisfied. Then from equations (B.2) and (B.3), it can easily be shown that the infinitesimal left transformation of the variable $g$ is equivalent to operate the differential operators (B.12) on $\Psi(g)$.

To construct a free particle-hole vacuum function, using the second equation of (A.2), we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U(g)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=\left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \operatorname{det} w \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce a function $\Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=\Psi_{m, m}^{*}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=\left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \tau} \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the famous formula for differential of a determinant, we can easily calculate differentials of $\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{j b}}\left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}=-\frac{1}{2} p_{j c}^{*}\left[\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{-1}\right]_{c b}^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}},  \tag{B.15}\\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i a}^{*}}\left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}=-\frac{1}{2} p_{i d}\left[\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{-1}\right]_{d a}\left[\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, from equations (B.14) and (B.15) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{i a} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=\left\{\frac{1}{2} p_{i b}^{*} p_{j a}^{*} \cdot p_{j c}\left[\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{-1}\right]_{c b}+\frac{1}{2}\left[p^{*}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{\mathrm{T}-1}\right]_{i a}+\frac{1}{2} p_{i a}^{*}\right\} \\
& \times \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right) \\
&=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[p^{*}\left(1+p^{\mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(1+p^{\mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right)\right]_{i a}+\frac{1}{2} p_{i a}^{*}\right\} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right) \\
&= p_{i a}^{*} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right),  \tag{B.16}\\
& e_{a i} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=\left\{\frac{1}{2} p_{i b} p_{j a} \cdot p_{j c}^{*}\left[\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{-1}\right]_{c b}^{\mathrm{T}}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2} p_{i d}\left[\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{-1}\right]_{d a}-\frac{1}{2} p_{i a}\right\} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right) \\
&=\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left[p\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)^{-1}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p\right)\right]_{i a}-\frac{1}{2} p_{i a}\right\} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=0,  \tag{B.17}\\
& e_{a b} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=\delta_{a b} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right),  \tag{B.18}\\
& e_{i j} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=0, \tag{B.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and finally we obtain a relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i a} p_{j b}^{*}=-p_{i b}^{*} p_{j a}^{*}+p_{j b}^{*} e^{i a} \tag{B.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have proved that on the function $\Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)$ the particle-hole differential operators (B.12) satisfy the relations (B.21) and the commutation relation $\left[e^{i a}, p_{j b}^{*}\right]=-p_{i b}^{*} p_{j a}^{*}$. Therefore, it turns out that the function $\Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)$ should be regarded as a free particle-hole vacuum in the physical fermion space.

From the above calculations, these differential operators are also proved to satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
e^{i a} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=p_{i a}^{*} \phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right), & e_{a i} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=0  \tag{B.21}\\
e_{a b} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=\delta_{a b} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right), & e_{i j} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

for the free particle-hole vacuum function $\Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)$. Furthermore, we can introduce higher-order differential operators obeying the relation
$D_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{\mu}, \cdots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\mu}, \cdots}\left(p, \partial_{p}, \partial_{p^{*}}, \partial_{\tau}\right) \stackrel{d}{=} \boldsymbol{e}^{i_{1} a_{1}} \cdots e^{i_{\mu} a_{\mu}}$,
$D_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{\mu}, \cdots, m}^{1, \ldots, \cdots, \cdots, m}\left(p, \partial_{p}, \partial_{p^{*}}, \partial_{\tau}\right) \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(p_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} \cdots p_{i_{\mu} a_{\mu}}^{*}\right) \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)$,
which show that by operating the differential operator $D$ on the vacuum function $\Phi$ we obtain the Plücker coordinate $\mathcal{A}$. The Plücker relation (A.12) becomes a finite set of partial differential equations satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right) D_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{\rho}, \cdots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\rho}, \cdots, m} \phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{\rho}(-1)^{j} D_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, m} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right) \\
\times D_{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j-1}, \ldots, i_{j+1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}, \ldots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{j}, \ldots, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}, \ldots, m} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=0  \tag{B.23}\\
\left(v_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{\mu}, \cdots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\mu}, \cdots, m}\left(g_{\zeta} g_{w}\right)\right)^{*}=\left(v_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{\mu}, \cdots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\mu}, \cdots, m}\left(g_{\zeta}\right) \operatorname{det} w\right)^{*}=D_{1, \ldots, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{\mu}, \cdots, m}^{1, \ldots, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\mu}, \cdots, m} \Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, in both the SCF theory and the soliton theory on a group, we can find the common features that the Grassmannian is just identical with the solution space of the bilinear differential equation. The solution space of each differential equation becomes an integral surface [19, 21, 22]. The free particle-hole vacuum function $\Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)$ can be also expressed in terms of the Schur polynomials given in the next appendix.

## Appendix C. Schur polynomials

Let us introduce Schur polynomials $S_{l}(\chi)$ belonging to $\mathbb{C}\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \ldots\right)$ through a generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \chi_{l} t^{l}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} S_{l}(\chi) t^{l} \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an element of an $\mathcal{N}$-dimensional linear group $G L(\mathcal{N})$, the Schur polynomial is related to a symmetric function $h_{l}, \sum_{l \geqslant 0} h_{l} t^{l}=\prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}}\left(1-\epsilon_{i} t\right)^{-1}$. Then, the Schur polynomial $S_{l}(\chi)$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{l}(\chi)=h_{l}\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{\mathcal{N}}\right), \quad \chi_{l}=\frac{1}{l}\left(\epsilon_{1}^{l}+\epsilon_{2}^{l}+\cdots+\epsilon_{\mathcal{N}}^{l}\right) \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Schur polynomial $S_{\lambda}(\chi)$ is given as
$S_{\lambda}(\chi)=S_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}}(\chi)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}S_{\lambda_{1}} & S_{\lambda_{1}+1} & S_{\lambda_{1}+2} & \cdots & S_{\lambda_{1}+l-1} \\ S_{\lambda_{2}-1} & S_{\lambda_{2}} & S_{\lambda_{2}+1} & \cdots & S_{\lambda_{2}+l-2} \\ S_{\lambda_{3}-2} & S_{\lambda_{3}-1} & S_{\lambda_{3}} & \cdots & S_{\lambda_{3}+l-3} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ S_{\lambda_{l}+1-l} & S_{\lambda_{l}+2-l} & S_{\lambda_{l}+3-l} & \cdots & S_{\lambda_{l}}\end{array}\right|=\operatorname{det}\left\{\left(S_{\lambda_{i}+j-i}(\chi)\right)_{i, j}\right\}$,
where the $\lambda$ denotes a partition $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{1} \geqslant \lambda_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{l}>0\right\}$ [17].

For the special partition $\lambda=1^{m} \equiv\left\{\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=1, \lambda_{3}=1, \lambda_{4}=1, \ldots, \lambda_{m}=1\right\}$, i.e. the completely anti-symmetric Young diagram,
$S_{1^{m}}(\chi)=\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}S_{1}(\chi) & S_{2}(\chi) & S_{3}(\chi) & S_{4}(\chi) & \cdots & S_{m}(\chi) \\ 1 & S_{1}(\chi) & S_{2}(\chi) & S_{3}(\chi) & \cdots & S_{m-1}(\chi) \\ 0 & 1 & S_{1} & S_{2}(\chi) & \cdots & S_{m-2}(\chi) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & S_{1}(\chi)\end{array}\right|=(-1)^{m} S_{m}(-\chi)$,
where we have used the explicit forms of the Schur polynomials (D.6) given in the next appendix.

## Appendix D. Other expressions for $\boldsymbol{D}\left(\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{p}^{*}\right)$

Inserting the completeness relation, we can express the overlap integral (2.17) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& S\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)=\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U^{\dagger}(g) \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a<b<\ldots \leqslant m ; \\
m+1 \leqslant i<j<\cdots \leqslant n}}\left|S_{i j \ldots a b \ldots}^{m}\right\rangle\left\langle S_{i j \ldots a b \ldots}^{m}\right| U\left(g^{\prime}\right)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=\left[\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U(g)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle e^{p_{i c} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{a}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle\right]^{\dagger} \\
& \times\left[\sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\ldots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m, m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\ldots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}}\left|S_{1, \ldots, m \text { without }\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}\right\} ; i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}}^{m}\right\rangle\left\langle S_{1, \ldots, m \text { without }\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}\right\} ; i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}}^{m}\right|\right] \\
& \times\left[\left\langle\phi_{m}\right| U\left(g^{\prime}\right)\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle e^{p_{i a}^{\prime} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{a}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle\right]=\left[e^{p_{i a} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{a}} \mid \phi_{m}\right]^{\dagger} \\
& \times\left[\sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\ldots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m, m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\ldots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}}\left|S_{1, \ldots, m \text { without }\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\rho}\right\} ; i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}}^{m}\right\rangle\left\langle S_{1, \ldots, m \text { without }\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}\right\} ; i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}}^{m}\right|\right] \\
& \times\left[e^{p_{i a}^{\prime} \epsilon_{i}^{\star} c_{a}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle\right] \Phi_{00}(g) \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m, m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}}\left[\left\langle S_{1, \ldots, m \text { without }\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\rho}\right\} ; i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}}\right| e^{p_{i a} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{a}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle\right]^{*} \\
& \times\left[\left\langle S_{1, \ldots, m \text { without }\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{\rho}\right\} ; i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\rho}}^{m}\right| e^{p_{i a}^{\prime} i_{i}^{\dagger} c_{a}}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle\right] \Phi_{00}(g) \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\prime}\right), \tag{D.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|S_{i j \ldots a b \ldots}^{m}\right\rangle=c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{a} c_{j}^{\dagger} c_{b} \cdots\left|S^{m}\right\rangle$ and $\left|S^{m}\right\rangle=\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle$. In the above equation, we have used the first relation of (A.2). Let $\mathcal{A}=1$ for $\rho=0$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\
m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \mathcal{A}\left(p_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} \cdots p_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{*}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(p_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{\prime} \cdots p_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{00}(g) \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\prime}\right) \\
& =S\left(p^{*}, p^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{00}(g) \Phi_{00}^{*}\left(g^{\prime}\right), \tag{D.2}
\end{align*}
$$

from which we can obtain one of the other expressions for $D\left(p^{\prime \mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right)\left(=S\left(p^{*}, p^{\prime}\right)\right)$ as
$D\left(p^{\prime \mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right)=\sum_{\rho=0}^{M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{\rho} \leqslant m ; \\ m+1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{\rho} \leqslant n}} \mathcal{A}\left(p_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{\prime} \cdots p_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(p_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} \cdots p_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{*}\right)$.
Of course, the above equation is exactly identical with (2.23).

Using the famous formula and the Schur polynomials $S_{l}(\chi)\left(\chi=\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}, \chi_{3}, \ldots\right)\right.$, $S_{0}(\chi)=1$ ) (C.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(1+X)=\exp \{\operatorname{Tr} \ln (1+X)\}=\exp \left\{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l-1} \frac{1}{l} \operatorname{Tr}\left(X^{l}\right)\right\} \tag{D.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denoting $z=p^{\dagger} p^{\prime}$, we have another expression for $D\left(p^{\prime \mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right)$ as
$D\left(p^{\prime \mathrm{T}} p^{*}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(1+p^{\dagger} p^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} S_{l}(\chi), \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\chi_{l} \equiv(-1)^{l-1} \frac{1}{l} \operatorname{Tr}\left(z^{l}\right), \\ \chi_{l}=S_{l}(\chi)=0, \quad(l \geqslant M+1),\end{array}\right.$
where the first few Schur polynomials $S_{l}(\chi)$ read

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1}(\chi)=\chi_{1}, \quad S_{2}(\chi)=\chi_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \chi_{1}^{2}, \quad S_{3}(\chi)=\chi_{3}+\chi_{1} \chi_{2}+\frac{1}{6} \chi_{1}^{3},  \tag{D.6}\\
& S_{4}(\chi)=\chi_{4}+\chi_{1} \chi_{3}+\frac{1}{2} \chi_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \chi_{1}^{2} \chi_{2}+\frac{1}{24} \chi_{1}^{4}, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

With the aid of the formula
$[\operatorname{det}(1+X)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}=\exp \left\{\operatorname{Tr} \ln (1+X)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}=\exp \left\{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l} \frac{1}{2 l} \operatorname{Tr}\left(X^{l}\right)\right\}$,
to our great surprise, the free particle-hole vacuum function $\Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)(\mathrm{B} .14)$ can be also expressed in terms of the Schur polynomials $S_{l}(\xi)$ as
$\Phi_{m, m}\left(p, p^{*}, \tau\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} S_{l}(\xi) \cdot e^{-\mathrm{i} \tau}, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\xi_{l} \equiv(-1)^{l} \frac{1}{2 l} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left[p^{\dagger} p\right]^{l}\right), \\ \xi_{l}=S_{l}(\xi)=0 . \quad(l \geqslant M+1) .\end{array}\right.$
Rowe et al showed that the NP $\operatorname{SO}(2 n)$ wave function satisfies recursion relations and were able to express it with the aid of the relations in a form of determinant which is well known as the completely anti-symmetric Schur function in the theory of group characters [13, 23]. In the present $U(n)$ case, equation (D.5) is also given by a determinant form
$\varphi_{l}(z)=\frac{1}{l!}\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}\chi_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 2 \chi_{2} & \chi_{1} & 2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 3 \chi_{3} & 2 \chi_{2} & \chi_{1} & 3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & l-1 \\ l \chi_{l} & (l-1) \chi_{l-1} & (l-2) \chi_{n-2} & (l-3) \chi_{l-3} & \cdots & \chi_{1}\end{array}\right|=(-1)^{l} S_{l}(-\chi)$,
which is exactly the same form as that given in [7]. The Schur function $\varphi_{l}(z)$ satisfies the recursion relation and the differential formula
$\varphi_{l}(z)=\frac{1}{l}\left\{\chi_{1}-\sum_{l^{\prime}=1}^{l-1}\left(l^{\prime}+1\right) \chi_{l^{\prime}+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{l^{\prime}}}\right\} \varphi_{l-1}(z), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi_{l^{\prime}}} \varphi_{l}(z)=(-1)^{l^{\prime}+1} \varphi_{l-l^{\prime}}(z)$.
By using the second equation of (D.10), we can rewrite the above recursion relation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{l}(z)=\frac{1}{l} \sum_{l^{\prime}=1}^{l}(-1)^{l^{\prime}+1} l^{\prime} \chi_{l^{\prime}} \varphi_{l-l^{\prime}}(z) \quad\left(\varphi_{0}=1\right) \tag{D.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Appendix E. Differential formulae for $\boldsymbol{D}\left(\boldsymbol{e} p^{*}\right)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{e}_{a i}$

A differential formula for $D\left(e p^{*}\right)$ with respect to $e_{a i}$ is easily given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial D\left(e q^{*}\right)}{\partial e_{a i}}=\frac{\partial \operatorname{det}\left(1+e q^{*}\right)}{\partial\left(1+e q^{*}\right)_{b c}} \frac{\partial\left(1+e q^{*}\right)_{b c}}{\partial e_{a i}}=K_{i a}^{*} D\left(e q^{*}\right), \quad\left(K^{*} \equiv q^{*}\left(1+e q^{*}\right)^{-1}\right) \tag{E.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have used the famous differential formulas for a regular matrix $A=\left(A_{a b}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \operatorname{det} A}{\partial A_{a b}}=\left(A^{-1}\right)_{b a} \operatorname{det} A, \quad \frac{\partial\left(A^{-1}\right)_{d c}}{\partial A_{a b}}=-\left(A^{-1}\right)_{b c}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{d a} \tag{E.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the second differential for the $D\left(e p^{*}\right)$, it is easily carried out as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} D\left(e q^{*}\right)}{\partial e_{b j} \partial e_{a i}} & =\frac{\partial K_{i a}^{*}}{\partial e_{b j}} D\left(e q^{*}\right)+K_{j b}^{*} K_{i a}^{*} D\left(e q^{*}\right) \\
& =\left[q_{i a^{\prime}}^{*} \frac{\partial\left\{\left(1+e q^{*}\right)^{-1}\right\}_{a^{\prime} a}}{} \frac{\partial\left\{\left(1+e q^{*}\right)\right\}_{c d}}{\partial\left\{\left(1+e q^{*}\right)\right\}_{c d}}+K_{j b}^{*} K_{i a}^{*}\right] D\left(e q^{*}\right) \\
& =\left[K_{i a}^{*} K_{j b}^{*}-K_{i b}^{*} K_{j a}^{*}\right] D\left(e q^{*}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{A}\left(K_{i a}^{*} K_{j b}^{*}\right) D\left(e q^{*}\right) \tag{E.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, succesive differential calculi to higher orders lead to a general differential formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{\rho} D\left(e q^{*}\right)}{\partial e_{a_{1} i_{1}} \partial e_{a_{2} i_{2}} \cdots \partial e_{a_{\rho} i_{\rho}}}=\mathcal{A}\left(K_{i_{1} a_{1}}^{*} K_{i_{2} a_{2}}^{*} \ldots K_{i_{\rho} a_{\rho}}^{*}\right) D\left(e q^{*}\right) \quad(\rho=1, \ldots, \min (m, n-m)) . \tag{E.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar differential formula to equation (E.4) was derived by Fukutome on the $S O(2 n+1)$ Lie algebra for superconducting fermion systems [5].
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